

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/761,049 01/20/2004		01/20/2004	Matthias Helmstetter	TRW(ASG)6917 1177		
26294	7590	07/12/2006		EXAMINER		
		EIM, COVELL &	DUNN, DAVID R			
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			U	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616			

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			49	HELMSTETTER ET AL.					
				Art Unit					
		David Du	าท	3616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN 1975 I	NG DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tirr ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 June 2006.							
,—	·	This action is r	on-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applic	ation.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>3-7,9,10 and 12</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)	4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Str No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed June 5, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischer (US 6,086,090).

Fischer discloses a gas bag module for a vehicle occupant restraint system, said gas bag module including a gas generator carrier (32) and a covering cap (24), said gas generator carrier having a base (26) extending perpendicular to a central axis of said gas bag module and a peripheral wall (28) extending in an axial direction from an outer edge of said base, said covering cap having a side wall (30) extending in an axial direction from a front wall of said covering cap, detent hooks (see lower end of 30 with inward bend and downward shaped hook portion) formed on an edge of the side wall facing way from said front wall (see tip end of detent hook), said detent hooks engaging into corresponding detent openings (to the right of "26") in said base of said gas generator carrier, thus forming a detent mechanism for connecting the covering cap to the gas generator carrier. The external diameter of the side wall (30) corresponds to an internal diameter of the peripheral wall (28) and the detent openings adjoin the

Art Unit: 3616

wall. The detent hooks are formed (inward shaped portion) on the edge of the side wall before the hooks engage into the openings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of Ford (US 6,412,812).

Fischer is discussed above and fails to the carrier consisting of plastic.

Ford teaches an air bag module with a carrier (80) made of plastic (see column 2, lines 25-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fischer with the teachings of Ford to provide the carrier of plastic in order to help reduce the weight of the module.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-7, 9, 10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3616

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/5/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 5 and 6, Applicant argues that the detent of Fischer engages an opening in the wall (28) that is not perpendicular to the central axis. In response, it is noted that the detent hook which corresponds to the applicant's claim language is the hook portion at the very end of the wall portion 30, which extends through an opening in a wall which is perpendicular to the central axis. The hook which applicant argues is not relied on in the rejection above.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-6670. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,049 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Dunn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3616